Positive Ulrich Sheaves



May 31, 2021

Mario Kummer Positive Ulrich Sheaves

≣ ► < ≣ ► 1/22 Ð,

< 17 b

▶ < ≣ >
2 / 22

Example Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a variety of dimension d. Let $E \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a linear subspace of dimension n - d - 1 such that $E \cap X = \emptyset$ and consider the linear projection $\pi_E : X \to \mathbb{P}^d$. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X.

Example Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a variety of dimension d. Let $E \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a linear subspace of dimension n - d - 1 such that $E \cap X = \emptyset$ and consider the linear projection $\pi_E : X \to \mathbb{P}^d$. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X. The following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{F} is π_E -Ulrich,
- $H^k(X, \mathcal{F}(-i)) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le d$ and all k,
- ▶ the associated graded module over the polynomial ring has a linear free resolution of length n d.

.

Example Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a variety of dimension d. Let $E \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a linear subspace of dimension n - d - 1 such that $E \cap X = \emptyset$ and consider the linear projection $\pi_E : X \to \mathbb{P}^d$. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X. The following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{F} is π_E -Ulrich,
- $H^k(X, \mathcal{F}(-i)) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le d$ and all k,
- ▶ the associated graded module over the polynomial ring has a linear free resolution of length n d.

In this case we just say that \mathcal{F} is Ulrich.

Problem

Classify the vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

Problem

Classify the vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

► Too difficult!

Problem

Classify the vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

Too difficult!

Problem

Characterize betti numbers $h^{i}\mathcal{F}(j)$ for vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

Problem

Classify the vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

Too difficult!

Problem

Characterize betti numbers $h^{i}\mathcal{F}(j)$ for vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

Still too difficult!

Problem

Classify the vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

Too difficult!

Problem

Characterize betti numbers $h^{i}\mathcal{F}(j)$ for vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

Still too difficult!

Problem

Characterize the Boij–Söderberg cone of X, i.e. the convex cone generated by betti numbers $h^i \mathcal{F}(j)$ for vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

Characterize the Boij–Söderberg cone of X, i.e. the convex cone generated by betti numbers $h^i \mathcal{F}(j)$ for vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

- ▶ The Boij–Söderberg cone of \mathbb{P}^n is known (Eisenbud–Schreyer).
- The Boij–Söderberg cone of a *d*-dimensional variety X ⊂ Pⁿ is the same as the Boij–Söderberg cone of P^d if and only if X admits an Ulrich sheaf.

Characterize the Boij–Söderberg cone of X, i.e. the convex cone generated by betti numbers $h^i \mathcal{F}(j)$ for vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

- ▶ The Boij–Söderberg cone of \mathbb{P}^n is known (Eisenbud–Schreyer).
- ► The Boij–Söderberg cone of a *d*-dimensional variety X ⊂ Pⁿ is the same as the Boij–Söderberg cone of P^d if and only if X admits an Ulrich sheaf.

Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^d$ some finite surjective linear projection. Since π is affine, we have $H^i(\mathcal{F}, X) = H^i(\pi_*\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^d)$ for all coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} on X.

Characterize the Boij–Söderberg cone of X, i.e. the convex cone generated by betti numbers $h^i \mathcal{F}(j)$ for vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

- The Boij–Söderberg cone of \mathbb{P}^n is known (Eisenbud–Schreyer).
- The Boij–Söderberg cone of a *d*-dimensional variety X ⊂ Pⁿ is the same as the Boij–Söderberg cone of P^d if and only if X admits an Ulrich sheaf.

Let $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^d$ some finite surjective linear projection. Since π is affine, we have $H^i(\mathcal{F}, X) = H^i(\pi_*\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}^d)$ for all coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} on X.

If \mathcal{F} is Ulrich on X and \mathcal{G} is a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^d , then $H^i(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \pi^* \mathcal{G}, X) = H^i(\pi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}} \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P}^d) = H^i(\mathcal{G}^r, \mathbb{P}^d).$

.

Characterize the Boij–Söderberg cone of X, i.e. the convex cone generated by betti numbers $h^i \mathcal{F}(j)$ for vector bundles \mathcal{F} on X.

- The Boij–Söderberg cone of \mathbb{P}^n is known (Eisenbud–Schreyer).
- The Boij–Söderberg cone of a *d*-dimensional variety X ⊂ Pⁿ is the same as the Boij–Söderberg cone of P^d if and only if X admits an Ulrich sheaf.

Problem (Eisenbud-Schreyer)

Does every projective variety have an Ulrich sheaf?

Does every projective variety have an Ulrich sheaf?

Does every projective variety have an Ulrich sheaf? Ulrich sheaves exist, for example, on:

Does every projective variety have an Ulrich sheaf? Ulrich sheaves exist, for example, on:

Complete intersections (Herzog–Ulrich–Backelin)

Does every projective variety have an Ulrich sheaf? Ulrich sheaves exist, for example, on:

- Complete intersections (Herzog–Ulrich–Backelin)
- Curves (Eisenbud–Schreyer)

Does every projective variety have an Ulrich sheaf? Ulrich sheaves exist, for example, on:

- Complete intersections (Herzog–Ulrich–Backelin)
- Curves (Eisenbud–Schreyer)
- Determinantal varieties (Bruns–Römer–Wiebe)

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over a field K. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be torsion-free coherent sheaves on X. Consider a morphism of sheaves on X:

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over a field K. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be torsion-free coherent sheaves on X. Consider a morphism of sheaves on X:

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

• $f^!$ is the right adjoint functor of f_* :



Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over a field K. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be torsion-free coherent sheaves on X. Consider a morphism of sheaves on X:

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

f[!] is the right adjoint functor of f_{*}:

 $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{X}(\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{F}_{2}, f^{!}\mathcal{O}_{Y}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{Y}(f_{*}(\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{F}_{2}), \mathcal{O}_{Y})$

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over a field K. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be torsion-free coherent sheaves on X. Consider a morphism of sheaves on X:

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

• $f^!$ is the right adjoint functor of f_* :

 $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{X}(\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{F}_{2}, f^{!}\mathcal{O}_{Y}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{Y}(f_{*}(\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{F}_{2}), \mathcal{O}_{Y})$

$$\to \operatorname{Hom}_{Y}(f_{*}\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} f_{*}\mathcal{F}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}).$$

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over a field K. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be torsion-free coherent sheaves on X. Consider a morphism of sheaves on X:

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

f[!] is the right adjoint functor of f_{*}:

 $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{X}(\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{F}_{2}, f^{!}\mathcal{O}_{Y}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{Y}(f_{*}(\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{F}_{2}), \mathcal{O}_{Y})$

$$\to \operatorname{Hom}_{Y}(f_{*}\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} f_{*}\mathcal{F}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}).$$

Thus ψ induces a *K*-bilinear form $\overline{\psi} : V_1 \times V_2 \to K$ where V_i is the space of global sections of \mathcal{F}_i .

Mario Kummer Positive Ulrich Sheaves	7/	
--------------------------------------	----	--

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

This induces a *K*-bilinear form $\overline{\psi}: V_1 \times V_2 \to K$ where V_i is the space of global sections of \mathcal{F}_i .

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

This induces a *K*-bilinear form $\overline{\psi}: V_1 \times V_2 \to K$ where V_i is the space of global sections of \mathcal{F}_i .

Theorem (Hanselka, K.)

If $\overline{\psi}$ is a perfect pairing and dim $(V_1) \ge \deg(f) \cdot \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{F}_1)$, then \mathcal{F}_1 is f-Ulrich.

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

This induces a *K*-bilinear form $\overline{\psi}: V_1 \times V_2 \to K$ where V_i is the space of global sections of \mathcal{F}_i .

Theorem (Hanselka, K.)

If $\overline{\psi}$ is a perfect pairing and dim $(V_1) \ge \deg(f) \cdot \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{F}_1)$, then \mathcal{F}_1 is f-Ulrich.

Remark

If \mathcal{F} is *f*-Ulrich, then the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, f^!\mathcal{O}_Y) \to f^!\mathcal{O}_Y$$

satisfies the assumptions of the theorem.

8 / 22

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

This induces a *K*-bilinear form $\overline{\psi}: V_1 \times V_2 \to K$ where V_i is the space of global sections of \mathcal{F}_i .

Theorem (Hanselka, K.)

If $\overline{\psi}$ is a perfect pairing and dim $(V_1) \ge \deg(f) \cdot \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{F}_1)$, then \mathcal{F}_1 is f-Ulrich.

Now $K = \mathbb{R}$. If $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\overline{\psi}$ is symmetric and positive definite, then we say that \mathcal{F}_1 is a *positive symmetric f-Ulrich sheaf*.

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}_2 \to f^! \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

This induces a *K*-bilinear form $\overline{\psi}: V_1 \times V_2 \to K$ where V_i is the space of global sections of \mathcal{F}_i .

Theorem (Hanselka, K.)

If $\overline{\psi}$ is a perfect pairing and dim $(V_1) \ge \deg(f) \cdot \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{F}_1)$, then \mathcal{F}_1 is f-Ulrich.

Now $K = \mathbb{R}$. If $\mathcal{F}_1 = \overline{\mathcal{F}_2}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ is hermitia

If $\mathcal{F}_1 = \overline{\mathcal{F}_2}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ is hermitian and positive definite, then we say that \mathcal{F}_1 is a *positive hermitian f-Ulrich sheaf*.

Theorem

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of real varieties. If there is a positive (symmetric or hermitian) f-Ulrich sheaf, then f is real-fibered in the sense that $f^{-1}(Y(\mathbb{R})) = X(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of real varieties. If there is a positive (symmetric or hermitian) f-Ulrich sheaf, then f is real-fibered in the sense that $f^{-1}(Y(\mathbb{R})) = X(\mathbb{R})$.

Problem

Which real-fibered morphisms admit a positive Ulrich sheaf?

Definition A homogeneous form $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$ of degree d is called *hyperbolic with respect to* $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ if $h(te - a) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ has only real zeros for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Definition A homogeneous form $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$ of degree d is called *hyperbolic with respect to* $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ if $h(te - a) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ has only real zeros for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.



12 / 22

Definition A homogeneous form $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$ of degree d is called *hyperbolic with respect to* $e \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ if $h(te - a) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ has only real zeros for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.



▶ Let *h* be hyperbolic with respect to *e* and $X = Z(h) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Then the linear projection $\pi_e : X \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ from center *e* is real-fibered. Let $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_d$ be hyperbolic with respect to $e = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and cosider the zero set X of h in \mathbb{P}^n . Let $\pi_e : X \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be the linear projection from e. There is a positive symmetric/hermitian π_e -Ulrich sheaf \mathcal{F} of rank r if and only if $h^r = \det(x_0I - (x_1A_1 + \ldots + x_nA_n))$ for some real symmetric/hermitian matrices A_i . We say that h^r has a definite symmetric/hermitian determinantal representation. Let $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_d$ be hyperbolic with respect to $e = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and cosider the zero set X of h in \mathbb{P}^n . Let $\pi_e : X \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be the linear projection from e. There is a positive symmetric/hermitian π_e -Ulrich sheaf \mathcal{F} of rank r if and only if $h^r = \det(x_0I - (x_1A_1 + \ldots + x_nA_n))$ for some real symmetric/hermitian matrices A_i . We say that h^r has a definite symmetric/hermitian determinantal representation.

Sketch.

Take the representing matrix $A = A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of the map

$$\mathcal{O}^{dr}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\cong f_*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cdot x_0} f_*\mathcal{F}(1)\cong \mathcal{O}^{dr}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$$

defined by multiplication with x_0 . Then $h^r = det(x_0I - A)$.

同トイヨトイヨト

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_{2d}$ be homogeneous of degree 2d and cosider the zero set X of $y^2 - p$ in $\mathbb{P}(d, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projection on the x-variables. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_{2d}$ be homogeneous of degree 2d and cosider the zero set X of $y^2 - p$ in $\mathbb{P}(d, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projection on the x-variables.

f is real-fibered if and only if p is globally nonnegative.

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]_{2d}$ be homogeneous of degree 2d and cosider the zero set X of $y^2 - p$ in $\mathbb{P}(d, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projection on the x-variables.

- ▶ *f* is real-fibered if and only if *p* is globally nonnegative.
- p is a sum of squares if and only if there is a positive hermitian f-Ulrich sheaf.

14/22

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{R} where Y is smooth.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{R} where Y is smooth.

• Assume that $\dim(X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \dim(X) - 2$.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{R} where Y is smooth.

- Assume that $\dim(X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \dim(X) 2$.
- ▶ Let *R* be the ramification divisor (Weil divisor on *X*).

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{R} where Y is smooth.

- Assume that $\dim(X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \dim(X) 2$.
- ▶ Let R be the ramification divisor (Weil divisor on X).

• $g \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ a rational function which is nonnegative on $X(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{R} where Y is smooth.

- Assume that $\dim(X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \dim(X) 2$.
- ▶ Let R be the ramification divisor (Weil divisor on X).
- $g \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ a rational function which is nonnegative on $X(\mathbb{R})$.
- Let D be a Weil divisor on X with $\ell(D) \ge \deg(f)$.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{R} where Y is smooth.

- Assume that $\dim(X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \dim(X) 2$.
- ▶ Let R be the ramification divisor (Weil divisor on X).
- $g \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ a rational function which is nonnegative on $X(\mathbb{R})$.
- Let D be a Weil divisor on X with $\ell(D) \ge \deg(f)$.

If 2D = R + (g), then there is a positive symmetric f-Ulrich sheaf of rank one.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties over \mathbb{R} where Y is smooth.

- Assume that $\dim(X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \dim(X) 2$.
- ▶ Let R be the ramification divisor (Weil divisor on X).
- $g \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ a rational function which is nonnegative on $X(\mathbb{R})$.
- Let D be a Weil divisor on X with $\ell(D) \ge \deg(f)$.

If $D + \overline{D} = R + (g)$, then there is a positive hermitian f-Ulrich sheaf of rank one.

- ▶ 2D = R + (p) where p is nonnegative,
- ▶ $\ell(D) \ge \deg(f)$.

•
$$2D = R + (p)$$
 where p is nonnegative,

▶
$$\ell(D) \ge \deg(f)$$
.

Assume $2D \equiv R$. Hurwitz's theorem: $\deg(R) = 2(g - 1 + \deg(f))$.

•
$$2D = R + (p)$$
 where p is nonnegative,

▶
$$\ell(D) \ge \deg(f)$$
.

Assume $2D \equiv R$. Hurwitz's theorem: $\deg(R) = 2(g - 1 + \deg(f))$. Thus $\deg(D) = g - 1 + \deg(f)$ and by Riemann-Roch $\ell(D) \ge \deg(f)$.

•
$$2D = R + (p)$$
 where p is nonnegative.



• 2D = R + (p) where p is nonnegative.

Theorem (Shamovich, K.)

A real fibered morphism $X \to Y$ of smooth varieties is unramified at real points.

• 2D = R + (p) where p is nonnegative.

Theorem (Shamovich, K.)

A real fibered morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth varieties is unramified at real points.

• Thus
$$R = Q + \overline{Q}$$
.

• 2D = R + (p) where p is nonnegative.

Theorem (Shamovich, K.)

A real fibered morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth varieties is unramified at real points.

- Thus $R = Q + \overline{Q}$.
- ▶ Let $n = \deg(Q)$ and Q' = Q nP for some $P \in C(\mathbb{R})$: $[Q'] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C) = \mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda.$

• 2D = R + (p) where p is nonnegative.

Theorem (Shamovich, K.)

A real fibered morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth varieties is unramified at real points.

- Thus $R = Q + \overline{Q}$.
- ► Let $n = \deg(Q)$ and Q' = Q nP for some $P \in C(\mathbb{R})$: $[Q'] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C) = \mathbb{C}^{g} / \Lambda.$
- Let Q' = 2N + (s) for some divisor N and $s \in \mathbb{C}(C)$.

• 2D = R + (p) where p is nonnegative.

Theorem (Shamovich, K.)

A real fibered morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth varieties is unramified at real points.

- Thus $R = Q + \overline{Q}$.
- ► Let $n = \deg(Q)$ and Q' = Q nP for some $P \in C(\mathbb{R})$: $[Q'] \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(C) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda.$
- Let Q' = 2N + (s) for some divisor N and $s \in \mathbb{C}(C)$.
- Then $R = Q + \overline{Q} = 2nP + Q' + \overline{Q'} = 2(N + \overline{N} + nP) + (s \cdot \overline{s}).$

臣

Corollary (Helton–Vinnikov 2007)

Every hyperbolic polynomial $h \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]_d$ has a definite symmetric determinantal representation.

A *del Pezzo surface* is a smooth projective surface whose anticanonical class $-K_X$ is ample.

A *del Pezzo surface* is a smooth projective surface whose anticanonical class $-K_X$ is ample.

Example

Let h∈ ℝ[x₀, x₁, x₂, x₃]₃ such that its zero set X ⊂ P³ is smooth. Then X is a del Pezzo surface embedded via the anticanonical linear system.

A *del Pezzo surface* is a smooth projective surface whose anticanonical class $-K_X$ is ample.

Example

- Let h ∈ ℝ[x₀, x₁, x₂, x₃]₃ such that its zero set X ⊂ ℙ³ is smooth. Then X is a del Pezzo surface embedded via the anticanonical linear system.
- Let p ∈ ℝ[x₀, x₁, x₂]₄ such that the zero set X of y² − p in ℙ(2,1,1,1) is smooth. Then X is a del Pezzo surface and the projection f : X → ℙ² onto the x-coordinates corresponds to its anticanonical linear system.

Positive Ulrich sheaves on del Pezzo surfaces

A line on a del Pezzo surface X is a rational curve $L \subset X$ with L.L = L.K = -1.

æ

A line on a del Pezzo surface X is a rational curve $L \subset X$ with L.L = L.K = -1.

Lemma

Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a finite real fibered morphism from a del Pezzo surface X such that the corresponding linear system is anticanonical. There are disjoint (complex) lines L_1, L_2 on X such that with $D = L_2 - L_1 - K$ we have $D + \overline{D} = R + (s)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ nonnegative on X. A line on a del Pezzo surface X is a rational curve $L \subset X$ with L.L = L.K = -1.

Lemma

Let $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a finite real fibered morphism from a del Pezzo surface X such that the corresponding linear system is anticanonical. There are disjoint (complex) lines L_1, L_2 on X such that with $D = L_2 - L_1 - K$ we have $D + \overline{D} = R + (s)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}(X)$ nonnegative on X.

Riemann–Roch for surfaces:

$$\ell(D) + \ell(K - D) = \frac{1}{2}D.(D - K) + 1 + p_a + s(D) \ge \frac{1}{2}D.(D - K) + 1$$

= K.K = deg(f). Further (-K).(K - D) = -2 deg(f) < 0 thus
 $\ell(K - D) = 0.$

Corollary (Hilbert 1888)

Every nonnegative polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]_4$ is a sum of (three) squares.

Corollary (Buckley–Košir 2007)

Every hyperbolic polynomial $h \in \mathbb{R}[w, x, y, z]_3$ has a definite hermitian determinantal representation.